

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/567,668	Applicant(s) YIN, XIANGYANG	
	Examiner Jeffrey L. Sterrett	Art Unit 2838	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey L. Sterrett.

(2) George Wang.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all of the rejections made in the last office action

Claims discussed:

1-6, but principally independent claims 1 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

Hosoya (US 4,208,705)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jeffrey Sterrett
Primary Examiner


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner opined that the 9/13/07 amended claims were still read upon by the combined disclosures of the prior art figure and Hosoya. The examiner explained how he would make the claims patentable over the cited prior art in light of the remarks submitted 9/13/07 and applicant agreed to incorporate some of the subject matter from the dependent claims into the independent claims. The claims submitted 10/18/07 satisfied both examiners and applicants concerns regarding patentability.